This Issue: 17 links from 13 sources

Number 47 – July 7, 2023



Marine Corps Officially Retires Last of Its 1911s



Bad-Mouthing Youth Shooting Sports Shows Brady Interested in Prohibition, Not Gun Safety

United Nations Finalizes Plan to Regulate Ammunition

Time Has Run Out on Pistol Braces: What To Do Now?

RedState

I Told You So: Robert F. Kennedy Jr. Reveals His True Anti-Gunner Nature



Opinion: Joe Biden Makes the Case for the Second Amendment



UN's Global Ammunition Framework Worries Second Amendment Advocates: 'It is never going away'



HANDGUNS

7 "Innovative" Handgun
Cartridges That Didn't Make The
Cut



How to Zero a Red Dot – Five Steps



Do Red Flag Laws Work? Report Doesn't Answer That Question





Tanner Gun Show - Denver July 28th - 30th - Crowne Plaza

Buy Tickets

The Firearms Coalition of Colorado 07-04-2023 Legislative Alert- Left Spins the Numbers on Violence

John Lott and James Varney of The Crime Prevention Research Center have authored a new article that effectively undercuts the Liberal/Progressive narrative that Conservative states with more freedom to own firearms have higher rates of violence than Liberal/Progressive states with more gun control laws. The article points out that it is much more scientifically accurate to look at city and county gun laws, rather than state statutes, because cities and counties enforce or fail to enforce many more laws than state governments. (Conservative administrations at all levels tend to lock up career offenders, while Liberal/Progressive governments tend to leave perpetrators on the streets, or "divert" them to social programs that keep them out of prison. Examples include the Columbine High School killers and the Marjory Stoneham Douglas High School killer.)

The conclusion reached by Lott and Varney is that cities and counties controlled by Liberal/ Progressive governments have higher crime rates than Conservatively-controlled cities and counties and that these local spikes in violence disproportionately contribute to crime rates in otherwise conservative states. The authors also point out that 70 percent of deaths related to firearms are suicides.

Real Clear Investigations: Murder, They Spun: Selective Stats Leave Suspicious Fingerprints All Over the Crime Debate (crimeresearch.org).

The suicide statistic brings up the issue of increasing rates of self-inflicted death using a firearm. What are the causes of heightened despair that are driving more and more people to take their own lives by firearms and other means? We believe that we must look at the Liberal/Progressive contributions to the increasing breakup of the American nuclear family; the incessant attack on traditional, hope-inspiring religious beliefs; the constant drumbeat of apocalyptic climate change warnings; the hate and fear inspired by Liberal Progressive identity politics; the mass shooting phobia sweeping the nation; the prejudice against defensive firearms use; and the "oppressor/oppressed" mentality that is central to the desire of the Left to "fundamentally transform" the United States into a Utopian Plato's Republic, where the compliant masses happily submit to the rule of the "superior intellects" of their philosopher kings.

It appears that Liberal/Progressives through their lax enforcement of laws against everyone but lawabiding gun owners and their contribution to a society plagued by increasing despair have spawned a problem that they now seek to "solve" by legislating more and more control by the very people who contributed to the situation. Abetted by their cronies in Education, the Mainstream Media, and the Deep State, the Left seems to be determined to push onward toward their goal.

Elections are the most important factors in determining if we are to keep our birthright of freedom recognized by the Second Amendment. Elections determine who runs the legislative process at the state and federal levels, and who controls the boards, councils and commissions for local districts, cities and counties. Please do as much as you can to assist in the effort to elect pro-Second Amendment candidates in every election. Remember that a Third-Party Vote is a wasted vote and that people who cast protest votes are called losers.

Follow us at: https://groups.io/g/gunissues. (May need to copy and paste.) (You must sign on to join this group.)

Follow us at: CaucusRoom.

(You must sign on to join this group. We are listed as a "Club.").

The Firearms Coalition of Colorado is an NRA Grassroots Affiliate. Our all-volunteer organization depends on memberships and the generosity of Second Amendment Supporters. Please join or contribute to The Firearms Coalition of Colorado. Individual memberships are still \$25 per year. Send check or money order to:

The Firearms Coalition of Colorado, PO Box 1454, Englewood, CO 80150-1454



Federal Court Tosses Biden 'Ghost Gun' Ban



Could This Happen Here? Red Flags Raised Following Phoenix Donation Of Guns To Ukraine Police

Questions to Ask Your Legislators, and Get Them Back On-Track

Source: Dillon Blue Press, Winter 2023, dillonprecision.com

How do you get your elected officials to listen to your Second Amendment concerns under current political conditions? How can you get the media to tell the truth about firearms issues?

Force them to answer these questions:

Will you pledge to never sign anything you haven't read personally? Will you identify party leaders who coerced you to vote for a bill you haven't seen?

Do you agree with the public sentiment: "Don't do so much, you're passing too many laws, especially gun laws?" Can you do more by doing less, putting limit on yourself?

Did you forget we're the bosses and you're the employees? Remember how you're in government to serve us? Why do you still do it if you know it's unconstitutional?

Do you intend to uphold your oath of office? Or are you going to become immune to the moral requirements of serving your constituents? Can you resist the money that makes the temptation so overwhelming?

Do you even consider whether or not the bills you pass are constitutional or legal, or not? Can you help avoid adding even more "junk" legislation to our legal system via court challenges and lawsuits?

Will you avoid supporting legislation buried in gigantic bills just to have them go unnoticed or unchallenged on procedural grounds? Can we avoid future gun legislation being passed by these very methods?

Can you follow the doctors' prime directive - "Do no harm?" Will you work to get the bills you study and pass right the first time, avoiding the temptation to "kick it down the road" so someone else has to fix it later?

Can't we get more done with what we already have? Don't we already have gun laws that address most of the issues that make people angry? Why pass new laws when we don't enforce the ones we already have? Why can't you make people responsible for their actions under existing law?

Can you answer the questions "what's the problem?" and "how will this legislation solve the problem?" Besides just passing new bills, can't we also eliminate some bills that are no longer valid?



FBI: Gun Sales Over 1 Million 47 Months Straight



The June 2023 NSSF-adjusted National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) figure of 1,110,696 is a decrease of 19.6 percent compared to the June 2022 NSSF-adjusted NICS figure of 1,382,287. June marks the 47th month in a row that has exceeded 1 million adjusted background checks in a single month.





The History of Gun Control in the United States, Part 1



Elites Worried About Violent Rebellion, Fear Monster They Helped Create

Upcoming "Holidays" You Can Look Forward To

JULY

July 7: World Chocolate Day

July 8: National Video Games Day

July 11: Cheer Up the Lonely Day

July 12: Malala Day

July 15: Give Something Away Day

July 17: World Emoji Day

July 18: Nelson Mandela International Day

July 21: Get to Know Your Customers Day (Third Thursday of July)

July 29: Talk in an Elevator Day (Last Friday of July)

July 30: International Day of Friendship

PUBLISHER OF:

ALLINTHEPAST.NET | ITSALLINTHEPAST.NET | THELOADEDMAG.COM | POTSHOTS

CONTACT: POINTSEASTCOMMUNICATIONS@GMAIL.COM

ABOUT US

Disclosure: This newsletter features news, how-to information, and links to assorted topics related to firearms and their use. The publisher receives no remuneration or commission of any kind. We only recommend articles and opinion pieces because of their quality and relevance to local political conditions and applicable firearm regulations. All firearms should be stored and handled within industry-acceptable guidelines.

Fair Use Act Disclaimer

This site is for educational purposes only!!

FAIR USE

Copyright Disclaimer under section 107 of the Copyright Act 1976, allowance is made for "fair use" for purposes such as criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship, education and research.

Fair use is a use permitted by copyright statute that might otherwise be infringing.

Non-profit, educational or personal use tips the balance in favor of fair use.

FAIR USE DEFINITION:

(Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fair_use)

Fair use is a doctrine in the United States copyright law that allows limited use of copyrighted material without requiring permission from the rights holders, such as for commentary, criticism, news reporting, research, teaching or scholarship. It provides for the legal, non-licensed citation or incorporation of copyrighted material in another author's work under a four-factor balancing test. The term "fair use" originated in the United States. A similar principle, fair dealing, exists in some other common law jurisdictions. Civil law jurisdictions have other limitations and exceptions to copyright.

U.S. COPYRIGHT OFFICE - FAIR USE DEFINITION:

(Source: http://www.copyright.gov/fls/fl102.html)

One of the rights accorded to the owner of copyright is the right to reproduce or to authorize others to reproduce the work in copies or phonorecords. This right is subject to certain limitations found in sections 107 through 118 of the copyright law (title 17, U.S. Code). One of the more important limitations is the doctrine of "fair use". The doctrine of fair use has developed through a substantial number of court decisions over the years and has been codified in section 107 of the copyright law.

Section 107 contains a list of the various purposes for which the reproduction of a particular work may be considered fair, such as criticism, comment, news reporting, teaching, scholarship, and research. Section 107 also sets out in four factors to be considered in determining whether or not a particular use is fair:

- 1. The purpose and character of the use, including whether such use is of commercial nature or is for nonprofit educational purposes
- 2. The nature of the copyrighted work
- 3. The amount and substantiality of the portion used in relation to the copyrighted work as a whole
- 4. The effect of the use upon the potential market for, or value of, the copyrighted work

The distinction between fair use and infringement may be unclear and not easily defined. There is no specific number of words, lines, or notes that may safely be taken without permission. Acknowledging the source of the copyrighted material does not substitute for obtaining permission.

The 1961 Report of the Register of Copyrights on the General Revision of the U.S. Copyright Law cites examples of activities that courts have regarded as fair use: "quotation of excerpts in a review or criticism for purposes of illustration or comment; quotation of short passages in a scholarly or technical work, for illustration or clarification of the author's observations; use in a parody of some of the content of the work parodied; summary of an address or article, with brief quotations, in a news report; reproduction by a library of a portion of a work to replace part of a damaged copy; reproduction by a teacher or student of a small part of a work to illustrate a lesson; reproduction of a work in legislative or judicial proceedings or reports; incidental and fortuitous reproduction, in a newsreel or broadcast, of a work located in the scene of an event being reported."

Copyright protects the particular way an author has expressed himself. It does not extend to any ideas, systems, or factual information conveyed in the work. The safest course is always to get permission from the copyright owner before using copyrighted material.

The Copyright Office